

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X

IN RE:

TERRORIST ATTACKS ON SEPTEMBER 11, 2001

03-MD-1570 (GBD)(SN)

This Document Relates to All Actions

-----X

DRAFT DEPOSITION PROTOCOL ORDER

1. The United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation (“JPML”) established *In re Terrorist Attacks on September 11, 2001*, 03 MDL 1570, and began transferring cases related to the 9/11 terrorist attacks from various judicial districts to this Court (“the MDL Court”) for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings. In addition to cases transferred into the MDL by the JPML, other cases related to the 9/11 terrorist attacks have been consolidated with this multidistrict proceeding (collectively, “MDL Proceeding”).
2. A fundamental purpose of multidistrict litigation under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 is to facilitate the conduct of efficient and non-duplicative discovery relating to questions of fact common to transferred and coordinated actions.
3. The Parties have expressed an intention to conduct deposition discovery in the MDL Proceeding. Although several prior orders (*see, e.g.*, ECF Nos. 247, 248, 1547, and 1900) contain provisions relating to depositions in the MDL Proceeding, the Court directed the Parties to meet and confer (*see* ECF No. 3541) to develop more specific protocols.

I. General Provisions

4. Prior pretrial Orders entered in the MDL Proceeding, including the Protective Order this Court entered on October 3, 2006 (ECF No. 1900), remain in effect to the extent that they are not in conflict with the provisions of this Order. To the extent that this Order conflicts, or is alleged to conflict, with rights or protections afforded by the national laws, rules, or regulations of any foreign country or by international treaty, the witness or party claiming those rights and protections shall meet and confer with the Parties regarding a resolution of the alleged conflicts. If the Parties cannot reach agreement, the issue(s) shall be submitted promptly for judicial resolution.

5. Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6(a) shall govern the computation of time periods set forth in this Order. Unless specifically modified herein, nothing in this order shall be construed to abrogate the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
6. By March 2, 2018, each Party must amend its Witness Disclosure or state that it has no supplementation of its prior Witness Disclosure. Thereafter, each Party in merits discovery remains under a continuing duty to supplement its Witness Disclosure.

Applicability of Paragraph 6 to Defendants in Jurisdictional Discovery.

The Parties were unable to reach agreement as to whether the witness disclosure requirements referenced in paragraph 6 apply to claims against defendants who are not in merits discovery, and will submit their separate proposals to the Court.

Plaintiffs' Position: Plaintiffs believe an obligation to identify witnesses with knowledge relevant to jurisdictional claims and defenses should extend to the jurisdictional discovery defendants.

Defendants' Position: Defendants do not believe that the witness disclosure requirement of Rule 26(a) should apply to defendants who were remanded for jurisdictional discovery. Defendants propose that these jurisdictional discovery defendants will meet and confer with plaintiffs if and when appropriate and address the need, if any, for Witness Disclosures concerning claims against those defendants.

II. Scope

7. The MDL Court has considered the Parties' positions and now issues the following protocols to apply to all Parties in the MDL Proceeding.
8. This Order provides the protocols applicable to depositions of all fact witnesses (including non-party witnesses and witnesses under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(b)(6)) in liability discovery and in jurisdictional discovery in the MDL Proceeding.
9. This Order does not provide protocols applicable to depositions in damages discovery or of expert witnesses. The Parties will meet and confer regarding protocols to apply to those depositions at a later date.
10. Nothing in this Order shall preclude any party or witness to which or whom this Order applies from seeking to modify it later for good cause shown; prior to doing so, however, counsel shall meet and confer among themselves in a good-faith effort to reach agreement as to the appropriate scope of any modifications or revisions to this Order.

III. Definitions

11. The term “Party” refers to each plaintiff or defendant in the MDL Proceeding.
12. The term “side” refers to either all defendants collectively or all plaintiffs collectively in the MDL Proceeding.
13. The term “Fact Witness” refers to a fact witness (including a party) whom one or more Parties seek to depose in the MDL.

IV. Stipulations

14. The sides may stipulate to different arrangements or rules other than those specified in this Order.

V. Deposition Notices, Scheduling, and Logistics

15. All depositions in the MDL Proceeding shall be noticed and conducted pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and this Order.
16. Each deposition notice in the MDL Proceeding shall comply with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(b) and shall include:
 - The name of the proposed witness (except for a Rule 30(b)(6) deposition notice);
 - The date, time, and location of the deposition; and
 - The name, address, telephone number, and email contact information of an attorney point of contact designated by the party noticing the deposition so that interested counsel may obtain information regarding the deposition.
17. Each deposition notice shall clearly state whether the deposition will be videotaped in addition to being recorded by stenographic means.
18. This Order, in its entirety, shall be attached to any subpoena or deposition notice for a non-party’s deposition.
19. Notices for Depositions in the MDL Proceeding shall be served by e-mail, facsimile, or other electronic means on the MDL Plaintiffs’ Executive Committees co-chairs and MDL Plaintiffs’ Liaison Counsel (or their designees), the MDL Defendants’ Executive Committee co-chairs (or their designees), and (where known) counsel for the deponent (collectively, “Deposition Point Persons”).
20. Each MDL Plaintiffs’ and Defendants’ Liaison Counsel, or his or her designee, shall be responsible for distributing Notices for Depositions to other counsel for plaintiffs and defendants, respectively.

21. A deposition notice may be served at any time, provided that it is served sufficiently in advance of the close of fact discovery so that the deposition takes place before the close of fact discovery.
22. Deposition Point Persons shall confer before noticing a deposition in an effort to schedule depositions for mutually convenient dates, times and locations. To the extent that a proposed witness is unable to be deposed on the noticed date of his or her deposition, Deposition Point Persons shall promptly confer to discuss alternative dates.
23. Deposition Point Persons are expected to cooperate and coordinate the scheduling of depositions in an effort to accommodate all counsel and Parties and to schedule the depositions in the most efficient manner feasible regarding date, time, and location. Toward that goal, the Deposition Point Persons shall periodically meet and confer to develop a plan for scheduling depositions that will maximize efficiency and control costs by attempting to schedule witnesses to be deposed in blocks of time, especially where the depositions are scheduled to occur outside of the United States.
24. To ensure the expeditious progress of the MDL Proceeding, in the event that the Deposition Point Persons are unable to reach agreements—either advance agreement on dates, times and location, or agreement as to blocks of depositions to be scheduled—the noticing party may notice the deposition in accordance with this Order; should any other party or the witness maintain a need for relief thereon, such request for relief shall be submitted promptly for judicial resolution.
25. To allow for planning, preparation, and coordination, fact depositions of witnesses residing in the United States must be noticed at least twenty-one (21) days before they are scheduled to occur. Because additional planning, preparation, coordination, and travel is attendant to depositions conducted outside the United States, depositions outside of the United States must be noticed at least forty-five (45) days before they are scheduled to occur.
26. Expedited depositions may be scheduled by agreement of the Deposition Point Persons in writing or as ordered by the MDL Court, and in which event the time limitations for notice may be lessened, as well as the time limitation for production of any documents sought by third-party subpoena in conjunction with such a deposition.

27. Location of Depositions

The Parties have been unable to reach agreement regarding the location of depositions.

Plaintiffs' Position: Unless by agreement otherwise, to the extent possible, all depositions should be taken in the forum. Where not possible, then the Parties may agree to a location in the UK, Paris, or Rome. Where a witness or a party claims the witness is unable to travel to any of those locations, the witness or party may explain the reasons and petition the Court to conduct the deposition in another location. Locations as to which the State Department has issued a "Travel

Warning” are presumptively not acceptable locations to notice a deposition. Plaintiffs also propose that each corporate or organizational defendant be required to exercise good faith efforts to arrange for the depositions of its former employees.

Defendants’ Position: In the absence of agreement, for witnesses who reside or work in the United States, a deposition may take place within (a) the county in which the deponent resides, (b) the county in which the deponent is employed, (c) the judicial district of the MDL Court, or (d) a mutually agreeable location. The Parties should meet and confer to designate one of these locations at which a deposition should presumptively take place.

For those witnesses who reside outside of the United States, a deposition shall take place at a location within (a) the country in which the deponent resides, (b) the country in which the deponent is employed, (c) the judicial district of the MDL Court, or (d) a mutually agreeable location. For the convenience of the witness and the Parties, depositions may, upon agreement of the Parties, which agreement shall not unreasonably be withheld, be noticed for a location in New York, New York; London, United Kingdom; Paris, France; Rome, Italy; Jeddah, Saudi Arabia; or Dubai, United Arab Emirates (collectively, “Presumptively Acceptable Locations”). For witnesses who cannot appear for a deposition in one of the Presumptively Acceptable Locations but who travel in the regular course of business to the United States or Western Europe, the Parties should meet and confer in an attempt to select a location in the United States or Western Europe. Cities covered by a “Travel Warning” by the U.S. State Department may be inappropriate locations for conducting depositions and the Parties should meet and confer to ensure a safe location. The Parties should also discuss any applicable local laws that may affect the taking of the deposition.

In the event that a witness believes that he or she is unable to travel to one of the Presumptively Acceptable Locations, the witness shall present in writing the basis for such prohibition or inability within fourteen (14) days of the receipt of the deposition notice. The Parties shall thereafter meet and confer regarding the location for the deposition of the witness and, absent agreement, present their positions on the issue to the MDL Court for resolution no later than twenty-five (25) days after the notice is served.

Defendants object to Plaintiffs’ proposal that “each corporate or organizational defendant should exercise good faith efforts to arrange for the depositions of its former employees.” The Federal Rules of Civil Procedure deliberately do not place this burden on parties. Plaintiffs should be required to subpoena or otherwise lawfully obtain the testimony of former-employee witnesses that they wish to depose.

28. If the Parties and deponent agree, the deposition may be taken by videoconference.

29. The costs of the deposition venue and, if applicable, the costs of securing a court reporter shall be borne by the party or parties noticing the deposition.
30. For any notice of deposition where there is an accompanying request for the production of documents, the producing party shall, within ten (10) days of receipt of such notice, alert counsel for the noticing party and the Deposition Point Persons of any reason that the requested documents cannot be produced a minimum of ten (10) days prior to the date noticed for the deposition.

VI. Number of depositions allowed

31. After the Parties exchange amended Witness Disclosures as set forth in paragraph 6, the Parties will meet and confer concerning whether a cap should be placed on the number of depositions with respect to the claims against the defendants required to serve amended Witness Disclosures.
32. Absent agreement of the Parties or as otherwise ordered by the MDL Court for good cause shown, no more than five (5) days of depositions shall take place in any calendar week and no more than twenty (20) days of depositions shall take place in any calendar month. This provision does not limit the ability of the Parties to establish a reasonable schedule for the multi-tracking of depositions, and the Parties are to meet and confer within sixty (60) days of the entry of this Order regarding a schedule for an initial grouping of depositions, and to present a proposal to the MDL Court within ninety (90) days of the entry of this Order. To the extent that the Parties cannot agree on a schedule, the Parties shall file with the MDL Court separate proposed schedules. The Parties shall routinely meet and confer in order to select the persons or entities to be deposed thereafter. Unless otherwise agreed by the Parties or ordered by the MDL Court, depositions shall not be taken on Saturdays, Sundays, federal court holidays, or Fridays when the deposition will be attended by a deponent or an individual Party who observes Friday as a religious holiday. In addition, counsel and Parties shall also use their best efforts to accommodate any conflict due to religious observance of counsel whose participation in the deposition is necessary.

Friday Depositions

The Parties are in disagreement as to whether the provision in the penultimate sentence of the preceding paragraph should prevent depositions on Fridays in the event that the deposition concerns a Party (but not as a witness) who observes Friday as a religious holiday.

Plaintiffs' Position: Though respectful of a Party's right to worship as they please, a Party's worship practices should not govern whether depositions may be conducted, unless the party is the witness. Plaintiffs would agree to add "individual Parties" to the "best efforts" section (e.g., "... to accommodate conflicts due to religious observance of counsel and individual Parties...").

Defendants' Position: Defendants are concerned about protecting the individual defendants and want to prevent a deposition that relates to them on a day or time that they cannot attend.

33. The Parties and the MDL Court desire to minimize the expense and inconvenience of this litigation by providing for a single deposition of any Fact Witness. A Fact Witness may be deposed only once in the MDL Proceeding unless (i) the subsequent deposition of the witness is for use only by or against a party or parties who were not in merits discovery at the time of a prior deposition of that deponent, (ii) otherwise agreed to by the sides in writing, or (iii) authorized by an order of the MDL Court upon a showing of good cause by the party seeking the additional deposition. This limitation of one deposition per Fact Witness shall not apply to a Rule 30(b)(6) deposition where the entity designates a deponent that has previously been deposed in his individual capacity as a Fact Witness. In addition, the Discovery Deposition of a Fact Witness shall not count towards this limitation of one deposition per Fact Witness.

VII. Discovery Depositions

34. Where a Party anticipates noticing the deposition of a witness to perpetuate the witness's testimony for trial (as opposed to for the purpose of discovery) or notices the deposition of its own witness, the opposing side may elect to notice and take a discovery deposition ("Discovery Deposition") of the witness reasonably in advance of the deposition to perpetuate testimony. The Parties shall meet and confer to agree on dates of the timing of discovery depositions and to discuss whether to limit the number of Discovery Depositions allotted to each side.

Exchange of documents before discovery depositions that precede depositions to perpetuate trial testimony

35. Plaintiffs' Position: No less than fourteen (14) days before the date of the Discovery Deposition, the Parties shall exchange any applicable Rule 26 disclosures that pertain to the witness, a proffer of the witness's expected testimony, and a copy of each document the party that noticed the deposition to perpetuate testimony for trial anticipates using at the deposition to perpetuate testimony.

Defendants' Position: Defendants believe that the exchange of documents in advance of Discovery Depositions is not necessary, but defendants offered to accept plaintiffs' proposal for exhibit disclosures so long as the exchange of documents was mutual by both sides. Plaintiffs should not be allowed to get one-way discovery when they are unwilling to reciprocate.

36. Absent agreement, the deposition to perpetuate testimony shall not commence until three (3) days after the conclusion of the witness's discovery deposition.

VIII. Touhy Requests

37. Access to the testimony of a current or former government employee is often restricted by U.S. or state law or regulation and may only proceed with government permission upon request (a “Touhy request”). The sides shall meet and confer on the subjects to be included in a Touhy request so that the request includes all topics desired by both sides.

IX. Rescheduling and Resolution of Deposition Disputes

38. Any Party or witness receiving notice of a deposition that disputes the timing or scheduling of the deposition or that otherwise objects to the deposition shall send the party seeking the deposition a written objection to the deposition and, if the deposition has been noticed, such written objection shall be sent no more than five (5) days after the objecting party receives notice of the deposition. Before bringing to the MDL Court’s attention the dispute(s) raised in the objection, the Parties must first attempt to resolve the dispute(s) in good faith. If counsel are unable to resolve the dispute(s) identified in the objection after good faith efforts to do so, the dispute(s) may be presented to the MDL Court for resolution no more than fourteen (14) days after the deposition notice was served. The Parties may agree to shorten the time periods to expedite the MDL Court’s attention to the dispute(s). A deposition notice is not suspended until such time as the party opposing the deposition notice serves and files a written motion bringing the dispute(s) to the MDL Court’s attention. In the event of such a motion, the deposition shall not go forward unless (a) the Parties and the deponent reach an agreement in writing to resolve the objection or (b) the MDL Court resolves the objection and permits the deposition to take place. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26, the burden shall be on the Party or witness seeking to quash or otherwise limit the notice to demonstrate why an order should issue protecting the Party or witness from the discovery sought.
39. A Party shall make a motion regarding a deposition by submitting a letter of not more than five (5) single-spaced pages setting forth its position and the Parties’ efforts to resolve the dispute. The other side may then submit a responding letter of no more than five (5) single-spaced pages within five (5) days of the filing of a letter motion.
40. Once a deposition has been scheduled and no timely motion is made concerning the deposition within fourteen (14) days before the scheduled date for the deposition, the deposition shall proceed as scheduled, except upon written agreement by both sides or for good cause.
41. Disputes regarding any matters arising under this Order, pertaining to a deposition in the MDL Proceeding shall, in the first instance, be brought before the MDL Court rather than the district court in the district in which the deposition is being conducted.
42. Disputes arising during depositions that cannot be resolved by agreement and that, if not immediately resolved, will significantly disrupt the discovery schedule, require rescheduling of the deposition, or possibly result in a request to conduct a supplemental deposition, shall be presented to MDL Court by telephone. In the event the presiding Judge or Magistrate Judge are not available, all efforts will be made to continue the deposition as

to matters not in dispute with full reservation of rights for a ruling at the earliest possible time.

43. If the nature of the dispute would not stop the deposition from going forward, the Parties may agree among themselves either to present the matter to the MDL Court by telephone or to present the dispute in writing.
44. The court reporter shall make a transcript of any telephonic proceeding with the MDL court, which shall be transcribed immediately and bound separately. Nothing in this Order shall deny counsel the right to (1) suspend a deposition pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(d)(3); or (2) file an appropriate motion with the MDL Court after the deposition and appear personally before the MDL Court.

X. Recording

45. A court reporter who qualifies as such consistent with Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 28 and 30(b)(5)(A) shall stenographically record all deposition proceedings and testimony and provide a “real time” transcription feed to devices such as tablets and computers, including computers not located at the deposition venue. The court reporter shall administer the oath or affirmation to the deponent. The written transcript prepared by the court reporter shall constitute the official record of the deposition for purposes of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30’s requirements concerning filing, retention, certification, and the like.
46. A party may cause a deposition to be videotaped by so indicating in its Notice of Deposition or by providing written notice no less than ten (10) days before the deposition, by email to the witness and Plaintiffs’ and Defendants’ Executive Committees that the deposition will be videotaped. The party causing a deposition to be videotaped shall be responsible for arranging a videographer and bearing the costs of that videographer’s attendance.
47. Services or products offered or provided by the operator of the videotape equipment must be offered to counsel for all Parties, regardless of which party is financing the videotaping of the deposition. Further, any video operator is subject to the orders of this Court, including but not limited to the Protective Order (ECF No. 1900). The video operator shall be given a copy of all applicable orders at least five (5) days before the deposition.
48. The operator of the videotape recording equipment is subject to the provisions of Federal Rule of civil Procedure 28(c). The video operator shall record the proceedings fairly and accurately.
49. Each witness, attorney, and other person attending the deposition (in person, electronically, or telephonically) shall be identified on the record at the commencement of the deposition. The videotape recording shall include the court reporter administering the oath or affirmation to the witness. Thereafter, the deponent and, if necessary, exhibits and any demonstratives will be videotaped.
50. The videotaping of the deposition will be conducted in a manner to replicate, to the extent feasible, the presentation at trial. Unless physically incapacitated, the deponent shall be seated at a table except when reviewing or presenting demonstrative materials for which a

change in position is needed. To the extent practicable, the deposition will be conducted with the witness seated in front of a solid background with only such lighting as is required for accurate video recording. Lighting, camera angle, lens setting, and field of view will be changed only as might be reasonably necessary to record accurately the natural body movements of the deponent or to portray exhibits and materials used during the deposition. Sound levels will be altered only as necessary to record satisfactorily the voices of counsel and the deponent.

51. Video recording will be suspended during all “off the record” discussions and the video operator shall note such suspensions. The deposition will remain “on the record” absent agreement of counsel.
52. At the conclusion of the deposition, a statement shall be made on the videotape, on the audio recording, and in the stenographic record that the deposition has concluded and will set forth any stipulations between the Parties that have not already been placed on the record.
53. The videotape operator shall use recording equipment with an appropriate timer and, unless otherwise agreed to by the Parties, shall prepare and provide counsel with a log, cross-referenced with timestamps, that identifies the portion of the recording at which examination by different counsel begins and ends and when there is any interruption of continuous tape recording, whether for recess, “off the record” discussions by counsel, mechanical failure, or other interruption.
54. The video operator shall maintain custody of the original video medium in its original condition, without editing in any fashion.
55. The video and audio recordings shall be treated the same as the transcript pursuant to both this Order and the prior protective order (ECF No. 1900) and any modifications of those orders.
56. The Parties shall meet and confer to determine whether an agreement can be reached to limit the number of independent court reporting and videography firms involved in recording the deposition proceedings covered by this Order and to develop a cost-sharing agreement between the various parties or party groups for the associated fees, including the cost of the facilities where depositions are conducted.
57. Absent agreement, each side shall bear its own costs in securing copies of the deposition transcript and exhibits, or recording.

XI. Attendance

58. Unless otherwise agreed to by the Parties or ordered under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c) and subject to the terms of this Court’s Order at ECF No. 1900 (“Protective Order”), depositions may be attended (either in person or remotely) only by the witness, and counsel for the witness; attorneys of record in the MDL Proceeding, court reporters, videographers, and any person who is assisting in the litigation, and the Parties, their in-house counsel,

and corporate officers. All persons in attendance (either in person or remotely) must be noted on the deposition record.

59. Upon motion, and for good cause shown, the MDL Court may permit attendance by a person who does not fall within any of the categories above or prohibit attendance by a person who does fall within any of the categories.
60. While a deponent is being examined about any information subject to the Protective Order entered in this litigation, persons to whom disclosure is not authorized shall be excluded.
61. To ensure adequate facilities, not fewer than fifteen (15) days prior to the deposition, whenever feasible, counsel intending to attend in person a deposition noticed in the MDL Proceeding should advise the Deposition Point Persons how many permitted persons counsel expects to bring to the deposition. The Deposition Point Persons will then confer regarding the expected attendance and make a final determination not fewer than five (5) business days prior to the scheduled date as to who is likely to attend the deposition in person and remotely.
62. A witness (other than a Party) who appears on any Party's operative Witness Disclosure is prohibited from attending other depositions in the MDL Proceeding prior to the taking of that witness' own deposition. If an individual who is a Party intends to attend a deposition prior to the deposition of that individual, counsel for the individual shall give the other side notice at least fourteen (14) days in advance of the deposition so that the other side may object and, if necessary, seek resolution of the dispute from the MDL Court after the Parties meet and confer.
63. Unnecessary attendance by counsel is discouraged and may not be compensated in any fee application to a court.
64. The Plaintiffs Executive Committees shall designate an attorney to serve as the lead examiner to conduct the principal examination of each deponent on behalf of the MDL Plaintiffs. The MDL Defendants shall designate an attorney to serve as the lead examiner to conduct the principal examination of each deponent on behalf of the MDL Defendants.
65. Given deposition time limits and in the interest of efficiency, the Plaintiffs' Executive Committees shall designate the attorneys to examine each witness, and the Court encourages the Committees to designate no more than three attorneys to serve as the examiners of each deponent on behalf of all Plaintiffs.
66. Plaintiffs' Counsel shall diligently work together to ensure that questioning on behalf of Plaintiffs in the MDL proceeds in the most efficient manner possible.
67. Given deposition time limits and in the interest of efficiency, the MDL Defendants shall designate the attorneys to examine each witness, and the Court encourages the MDL Defendants to designate no more than three attorneys to serve as the principal examiners of each deponent on behalf of defendants.
68. All counsel shall use reasonable efforts not to ask duplicative questions of a witness.

XII. Remote Participation

69. If a person permitted to attend the deposition requests to participate remotely by telephone or video conference at least ten (10) days before a deposition, telephone and/or video conference facilities shall be provided so that Parties wishing to participate in the deposition by telephone or video conference may do so at their own expense. Any party attending remotely must be identified on the deposition record.
70. By indicating in a deposition notice that it wishes to examine a witness over the telephone or by video conference, a noticing Party shall be deemed to have moved for such an order under Federal Rule of civil Procedure 30(b)(4). Unless an objection is filed and served within five (5) days after such notice is received, the MDL Court shall be deemed to have granted the motion. Other Parties may examine the deponent telephonically or in person. However, all persons present in the location with the deponent shall be identified on the deposition record and shall not by word, sign, or other means coach or suggest answers to the deponent and shall act in accordance with applicable Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and rules of professional conduct governing interaction with the deponent. In addition, the court reporter stenographically recording the deposition shall be located in the same room as the deponent.
71. Technical difficulties with telephonic participation shall not constitute grounds for postponing the deposition or for rendering inadmissible a deposition that otherwise would be admissible in evidence. If technical problems with the telephonic facilities create disruptions in the deposition, counsel attending a deposition in person may discontinue telephonic participation for such periods of time as necessary.
72. To the extent economically feasible, Parties may seek to participate in the deposition at locations that are remote from the deposition venue through the use of video streaming over secure Internet connections. The Parties shall use their best efforts to provide for remote real-time participation by interested counsel, such as through the use of a private group chat system that enables remote counsel to observe the witness through video and audio broadcast. The viewing of or participation in any deposition via the Internet is expressly subject to the Court's Protective Order (ECF No. 1900). No party may record the deposition by video or audio means. Parties participating in the video stream shall bear its costs and be responsible to making arrangements to create the video stream, are responsible for providing his or her own Internet connection, and shall be identified on the deposition record.

XIII. Duration of Depositions

73. Duration of Depositions

The Parties were unable to reach agreement as to the duration of depositions, and will submit their separate proposals to the Court. The provisions in the

numbered paragraphs that follow this box is text on which the Parties did agree.

Plaintiffs' Position: Plaintiffs generally propose that the operative provisions state that 10 hours is the presumptive limit for questioning by the noticing Party, and that non-noticing Parties will be afforded a reasonable time to conduct their questioning, up to seven hours. We would further propose that this section should simply state that the Parties recognize that extensions of the time limit will be warranted for certain depositions, and the Parties will confer in good faith in an effort to reach agreement concerning proposed extensions of the presumptive time limit, and whether a limit on the number of extended depositions is appropriate. In the event the Parties are unable to reach agreement on a proposed extension of the presumptive time limit for a deposition, they shall present the dispute to the MDL Court for resolution in accordance with the procedures set forth herein.

Defendants' Position: Whenever feasible, depositions of fact witnesses should be completed in a single day. All questioning by the noticing side shall be limited to seven (7) hours unless the noticing side elects to take an Extended Deposition. Given the complex nature of this MDL Proceeding, we proposed that each side could elect to conduct many of the depositions as Extended Depositions in which the noticing Party who designates the deposition as an Extended Deposition in the deposition notice has up to ten (10) hours to question the witness, but ten hour depositions are not warranted in most instances and should not be the norm.

The non-noticing side of the deposition will also be permitted to question the witness for up to seven (7) hours. To the extent the non-noticing side for a deposition reasonably anticipates that its questioning of the witness will exceed ninety minutes, it will provide notice at least ten (10) days before the scheduled deposition, so arrangements can be made for the deposition to continue into an additional day, if necessary; provided, however, that this provision shall not be interpreted to preclude any party on the non-noticing side from questioning a witness for the time that Party may deem necessary up to its limit of seven (7) hours. The Parties may, by agreement, modify this provision in the context of a particular deposition as may be appropriate to facilitate or enhance coordination.

74. Upon consent of counsel in the MDL Proceeding, the Parties may agree to consecutive depositions of an individual who is both a fact witness and a corporate representative designee under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(b)(6). One deposition will be taken in his or her individual capacity (as a fact witness) and a separate deposition will be taken in his or her capacity as a corporate representative. If such a witness is later designated as an expert witness, then the witness may be required to submit to additional deposition questioning.
75. Absent agreement of the Parties or as otherwise ordered by the MDL Court for good cause shown, a deposition day shall commence at 8:30 a.m. in the time zone in which the

deposition is taking place and terminate for the day no later than at the conclusion of hours of examination time.

76. The time limits for a deposition set forth above shall be based on the actual time spent in examining the witness. Time spent on attorney colloquy and breaks (including for lunch) shall not be counted toward the time limit.
77. All time limits for depositions shall be increased by in the event that the witness requires a translator.
78. The Parties are encouraged to make full and efficient use of each deposition day so as not to waste valuable time and incur unnecessary expenses. Not every witness will require an examination that lasts as long as the presumptive time limits set forth above. To the extent the Parties can anticipate such, they will attempt to agree to lesser time limits and, for planning purposes, will provide other deposition attendees with advance notice of any agreement to lessen the examination time. This procedure may be particularly useful in the event that more than one deposition is scheduled on a given day.

XIV. Examination, Objections, Confidentiality, Consultation

79. If the deposition was noticed by counsel for plaintiffs, the questioning of the witness will be conducted in the following sequence: (1) the lead examiner for Plaintiffs' Executive Committees; (2) the other designated Plaintiffs' examiners, (3) the lead examiner selected by Defendants, (4) non-repetitive questioning by counsel for other Defendants, if any; (5) individual counsel for the witness, if any, other than counsel above; and (6) any re-cross by the Parties identified in this paragraph above (1)-(4) (in that order) to the extent the examiners have not exceeded the time limitations set forth in this Order.
80. If the deposition was noticed by a Defendant, the questioning of the witness will be conducted in the following sequence: (1) counsel for the noticing defendant, (2) counsel of the other defendants, (3) the lead examiner for Plaintiffs' Executive Committees; (4) the other designated Plaintiffs' examiners; and (5) any re-cross by the parties identified above in this paragraph (1)-(4) (in that order) to the extent the examiners have not exceeded the time limitations set forth in this Order.
81. The Parties may, by agreement, modify this sequence in the context of a particular deposition as may be appropriate to facilitate or enhance coordination and participation by other litigants.
82. Notwithstanding the number of examiners, the time limits per side set forth above shall still apply. Counsel should cooperate so examinations by multiple attorneys do not result in a deposition exceeding the allotted time.
83. All objections, except those as to form, foundation, manner of taking the deposition, and privilege, are reserved until trial or other use of the depositions.
84. Counsel shall state all objections in a concise, nonargumentative, and nonsuggestive manner—i.e., counsel shall not make objections or statements in order to suggest an answer

to a witness. Counsel shall not engage in colloquy in objecting to a question or responding to an objection. The phrases “objection as to form,” “objection as to foundation,” or similar language are sufficient and shall preserve all objections as to form and foundation until a Party seeks to use a deposition.

85. Any objection raised by one Party is preserved as to all other Parties on that side. Counsel for other Parties should avoid repeating the objection.
86. To determine whether there is a need to cure a defect in a question, counsel conducting the examination may ask the attorney lodging the form or foundation objection to identify the specific defect. If an explanation for the basis of the objection is requested, objecting counsel shall explain the basis for the objection.
87. Nothing herein shall prohibit counsel for a Party or for the deponent from objecting to preserve a privilege or confidentiality, to identify an ostensible mistranslation, or to enforce a limitation imposed by court order, law, or rule.
88. A person may instruct a deponent not to answer only when necessary to preserve a privilege, to enforce a limitation ordered by the MDL Court, or to present a motion under Rule 30(d)(3).

89. Attorney/Witness Consultation

The Parties were unable to reach agreement concerning when counsel and a witness may consult in protected communications. The Parties agree that the status of the law in the Second Circuit is to apply and are continuing to confer regarding this issue.

Plaintiffs’ Position:

A witness may consult with counsel at any time for the purpose of (a) determining whether a privilege exists, (b) determining whether disclosure of information may violate an order of the MDL Court or another court, or (c) addressing an issue regarding confidentiality or whether the information sought is subject to an applicable protective order. Such consultations are attorney-client privileged and work product protected.

Defendants’ Position:

A witness may consult with his or her counsel during a deposition. When a question is pending, the witness must first answer the question before consulting with counsel, except that a witness may consult with counsel at any time for the purpose of (a) determining whether a privilege exists, (b) determining whether disclosure of information may violate an order of the MDL Court or another court or may violate any other law, rule, or restriction, or (c) addressing an issue regarding confidentiality or whether the information sought is subject to an

applicable protective order. Such consultations are attorney-client privileged and work product protected.

90. Unless otherwise specified, (a) an instruction not to answer by one defendant shall not be deemed an instruction not to answer by all defendants and (b) an instruction not to answer by one plaintiff shall not be deemed an instruction not to answer by all plaintiffs.
91. When a privilege or protection is claimed, to the extent a witness is able to answer questions relevant to the existence, extent, or waiver of the privilege (such as the date of a communication, who made the statement, to whom and in whose presence the statement was made, other persons to whom the contents of the statement have been disclosed, and such other information as may be necessary to determine the nature of the purportedly privileged communication), without waiving the privilege or protection, the witness should do so.
92. Treatment of Confidential Information in depositions is governed by section G of this Court's Protective Order (ECF No. 1900).

XV. Interpreters

93. The Parties shall meet and confer concerning the circumstances warranting, and protocols governing, the use of Party-selected or neutral interpreters at depositions, including the appropriate notice period and the number of interpreters.
94. The noticing party bears the cost of securing a qualified and official translator for the deposition. In addition, counsel for any Party may bring its own translator to the deposition for the purpose of assisting that counsel. If a Party insists on use of a certain interpreter at a deposition, then that Party shall bear the cost of that interpreter.
95. Absent the Parties' agreement, for any witness who, at least fourteen (14) days before the deposition, states that he or she lacks sufficient familiarity with English to understand questions asked in English or to respond to questions in English, the Parties will secure a neutral interpreter. Counsel for the witness or defending Party shall promptly, but in any event no less than fourteen (14) days before the deposition, notify the Deposition Point Persons if a witness will require the use of an interpreter during a deposition.
96. If a Fact Witness does not indicate the need for an interpreter but then demonstrates an inability or unwillingness to respond to questions due to alleged language difficulty, any Party may bring the issue to the attention of the MDL Court so that a proper remedy may be fashioned.
97. In the event that a Party or witness objects to a translation of the translator, the objecting party has the right to raise its objection on the record to the translator, affording the opportunity for correction, and may file an errata sheet within forty-five (45) days after the date that the transcript is received by counsel for Party or the witness.

98. Any objection to the translation must be mindful of the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(c)(2). Counsel shall refrain from argumentative or suggestive objections and colloquy in the witness's presence shall be kept to a minimum. If repeated or lengthy objections are raised concerning translations, the time to address them shall not count against the questioning side's time.
99. In the event that a Party or witness objects to repeated mistranslations at a deposition, that Party or witness may, after meeting and conferring with the other Parties attending the deposition, stop the deposition and seek relief from the MDL Court and a continuation of the deposition with another translator.

XVI. Provision of Documents

100. All documents marked as exhibits will be attached to the original transcript and will be retained with the original transcript. The court reporter for each deposition will include in each deposition transcript a list of the exhibits referenced in the deposition.
101. During a deposition, copies of documents about which counsel plan to examine the deponent shall be provided to counsel for the deponent and counsel for the other Party participants. As a general rule, hard copies of the documents should be made available to the deponent, the deponent's attorney, and the principal examiners of the deponent. The documents may be provided to other attendees on electronic media such as CDs, DVDs, or USB drives. To the extent possible, all exhibits should have printed Bates or other document control numbers affixed before distribution, which shall remain constant throughout the litigation.
102. Counsel shall use best efforts to mark exhibits sequentially and shall attempt to use previously marked exhibits in subsequent depositions rather than re-marking the same documents with different exhibit numbers.
103. Objections to the relevance or admissibility of documents used as deposition exhibits are not waived, and are reserved for later ruling by the MDL Court.
104. If a Party chooses to use a document that is a translation of a foreign language document by showing it to the witness or by quoting from the translation at the deposition, the Party must also provide to the witness and other counsel a copy of the original foreign language document. Counsel will meet and confer to discuss any further protocols regarding the sharing of foreign language documents and translations in advance of the deposition.

XVII. Transcript and Time to Review Transcript

105. The deposition transcript and video recording will be treated as confidential for ninety (90) days following the deposition and such confidentiality shall continue as to those portions of the deposition designated confidential by a Party or witness within ninety (90) days after the deposition. Notice of such confidentiality designations shall be made in writing to the court reporter, with copies to the Deposition Point Persons, specifying the portion(s) of the transcript and exhibits that constitute or contain Confidential Information or Material and are to be marked as Confidential. Each MDL Plaintiffs' and Defendants' Liaison Counsel,

or his or her designee, shall be responsible for distributing confidentiality designations to other counsel for plaintiffs and defendants, respectively.

106. Unless waived by the deponent, the deposition transcript shall be submitted to the deponent for correction and signature, and shall be corrected and signed before any notary or certified under penalty of perjury within sixty (60) days after the date that the transcript is received by counsel for the witness. The Parties may agree to reasonable extensions of this deadline.
107. Corrections to a deposition shall be listed on an errata sheet signed by the deponent and the court reporter shall serve copies of the errata sheet on all parties purchasing the transcript. Should the deponent fail to submit corrections within sixty (60) days, the transcript will be presumed accurate, and all Parties shall have the right to use the copy sent to the deponent as if it were final and signed with no corrections needed. Parties in jurisdictions where rules do not provide for correction and signing of a deposition transcript reserve their objections to corrections made pursuant to this procedure.

XVIII. Use of Depositions

108. Depositions may, to the extent authorized by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or the Federal Rules of Evidence, be used by or against any Party.
109. Depositions may, under the conditions prescribed in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 32(a)(1)-(4) or as otherwise permitted by the Federal Rules of Evidence, be used by or against any Party (including parties later added and parties in cases subsequently filed in or transferred or removed to this Court that become part of this MDL) who (a) was present or represented at the deposition; (b) had reasonable notice of the deposition; or (c) within thirty (30) days after the filing of the deposition (or within sixty (60) days after becoming a party in this Court to an action that is part of this MDL), fails to show just cause why such deposition should not be usable against such party.

XIX. Witness Claim to Alternate Procedures

110. To the extent that any Party anticipates a witness asserting that applicable law requires a procedure other than that set forth herein or under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the party aware of the issue shall promptly notify all other parties in writing. The Parties shall thereafter meet and confer in an effort to timely resolve the matter among the Parties so as to minimize any disruption to the scheduling of the deposition. To the extent the Parties are unable to resolve the issue, the matter shall be promptly presented for judicial resolution.